

Rengulbai v. Rengiil, 6 ROP Intrm. 197 (1997)
MERUK RENGULBAI,
Appellant,

v.

WILHELM RENGIL,
Appellee.

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 27-96
Civil Action No. 349-95

Supreme Court, Appellate Division
Republic of Palau

Order

Decided: August 7, 1997

PER CURIAM:

This is an appeal from an order of the Trial Division granting a trial *de novo* and remanding the case to the Land Court. We conclude that this Court lacks jurisdiction and we therefore dismiss this appeal.¹

¶198 The “final judgment rule,” which is the law in this jurisdiction, states that “[a]n order which does not finally settle the issues on trial generally is not appealable, although it is open to review in connection with an appeal of the final judgment.” *In the Matter of Kaleb Udui*, 3 ROP Intrm. 130, 131 (1992). Since “granting a new trial is an interlocutory order,” *Farmers’ & Merchants’ Nat. Bank of El Dorado v. Wright*, 157 P. 1178 (Kan. 1916), we see no reason why remanding a case for a new trial should be treated as a final order. *See National Farmers Union Prop. & Cas. Co. v. Thompson*, 286 P.2d 249, 251 (Utah 1955).

For the foregoing reason, the appeal is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

¹ Based on our review and in order to avoid further cost and delay, we have determined that oral argument on this issue would not be helpful.